| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | 10/049,365 | VACHON ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Christopher Upton | 1724 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) <u>Christopher Upton</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Catherine Gemrich</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 25 March 2004 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: 10, 18, 30 and 31 Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: It was agreed to ament claims 10, 18, 30 and 31 to provide a means-plus-function basis for the functions claimed. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| CHRISTOPHER UPTON PRIMARY EXAMINER | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/ | 'Applicant's Representative Sig | gnature – if appropriate) |